arXiv:gr-qc/0610001v4 11 Sep 2009

The Spinor Connection and its Dynamical Effects

Ying-Qiu Gu[*]

School of Mathematical Science, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China

(Dated: 11th September 2009)

The current formalisms of covariant derivatives for a spinor take compact forms, and the geometrical and dynamical effects of the spinor connection are covered under the abstract symbols. The practical calculations for the spinor connection in these formalisms are usually a tiresome and fallible task. In this paper, we divide the spinor connection into two vectors Υ_μ and Ω_μ, where Υ_μ is mainly related to the geometrical calculations, but Ω_μ leads to gravitomagnetic effects. The expression is valid for both the Weyl spinor and the Dirac bispinor, which is not only more convenient for calculation, but also highlights the physical meanings of the spinor connection. On this foundation, we derive the complete classical mechanics from the dynamical equation and get some interesting results. We find in the space-time with intrinsically nondiagonal metric, the orbit of a spinor deviates from the geodesic slightly, so the principle of equivalence is broken by the spinors moving at high speed. In contrast with the previous works, the new results are more accurate and clear.

Keywords: spinor connection, covariant derivative, gravitomagnetic field, principle of equivalence

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.20.-q, 04.20.Fy, 11.10.Ef

I. INTRODUCTION

The Equivalence Principle was once a guidance for Einstein to establish the general relativity. The Weak Equivalence Principle(WEP) says that, “the inertial mass of a mass point equals to the gravitational one”, which was firstly realized by Newton. But the profound implication of WEP was regarded by Einstein. He was cognizant of that, WEP means

---

[*] Electronic address: yqgu@fudan.edu.cn

the gravitational interaction is just an effect of the curved space-time, which is essentially different from the other kind interactions. In 1907, Einstein declared his own Equivalence Principle(EEP): "In a small closed lift, an observer without spin can not distinguish the gravity and acceleration".

As summarized by C. Will in [1], the EEP is a more powerful and far-reaching concept; it states that:

1. WEP is valid.
2. The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of the velocity of the freely-falling reference frame in which it is performed.
3. The outcome of any local non-gravitational experiment is independent of where and when in the universe it is performed.

The EEP is the heart and soul of metric theory of gravity, which means:

1. Spacetime is endowed with a symmetric metric.
2. The trajectories of freely falling test bodies are geodesics of that metric.
3. In local freely falling reference frames, the non-gravitational laws of physics are those written in the language of special relativity.

For other reviews of EEP and its experimental and theoretical significance see [2, 3]. The EEP has been tested by experiments to a precision less than 1 part in 10^{12}. The Lunar Laser Ranging tests of the equivalence principle were discussed in [4, 5, 6]. A number of researches and tests of the EEP can be found in [7, 8, 9].

In a sense, the EEP constraints the dynamics of matter and fields in gravity. It implies that the dynamical effects of gravity are related with Christoffel symbols of the metric. We can establish a local coordinate system in which all Christoffel symbols vanish along a given geodesic. Theoretically, such coordinate system indeed exists in any space-time without torsion, which is called the Riemannian normal one[10, 11].

The EEP provides an effective guidance to construct the dynamics of matter and fields in curved space-time, but it provides no suggestions for the dynamics of the metric. There is an idea to approach this problem, which is the strong equivalence principle(SEP)[1]. The distinction between SEP and EEP is the inclusion of bodies with self-gravitational interactions and of experiments involving gravitational forces. However, the physical meanings of SEP are less clear and effective than that of EEP. So it may be much natural and convenient to directly constraint the dynamics of metric and all fields by quaternion operator $\gamma^\mu\partial_\mu$ and action principle. We do not deeply discuss this topic here.

However, for a complex matter system, there are a number cases which certainly violates the EEP. For examples, in the case of ideal gas, although the orbit of each free particle is geodesic, the mean speed is related to the gradient of the internal pressure. For a system with spin such as spinor and gyroscope, investigations show that the orbit of the mass center is also not the exact geodesic, and this phenomenon is called "frame-dragging".

For a classical spin such as gyroscope, the frame dragging effect was predicted by Lense and Thirring [12, 13], and the non-relativistic formula for the effect was derived by L. Schiff [14, 15, 16]. It has also been shown that the gravitomagnetic interaction plays a part in both shaping the lunar orbit[6], and in contributing to the periastron precession of binary and especially double pulsars[17]. For applications to the analysis of gravitational phenomena, a general metric tensor field expansion for the gravitational potentials in a broad class of theories was developed[18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. This parameterized post-Newtonian (PPN) framework yields a gravitomagnetic contribution to the equation of motion[23]. The spin precession was studied in [24].

The classical theory of motion for a spinor in a gravitational field is firstly studied by Mathisson[25], and then deceloped by Papapetrou[26] and Dixon[27]. A detailed derivation can be find in [11]. Where by the commutator of the usual covariant derivative of the spinor $[\nabla_\alpha, \nabla_\beta]$, we get an extra acceleration of the spinor as follows

$$a_\alpha(x^\mu) = -\frac{\hbar}{4m} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}(x^\mu) u^\beta(x^\mu) S^{\gamma\delta}(x^\mu), \tag{1.1}$$

where $R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ is the Riemann curvature, u^α 4-vector speed and $S^{\gamma\delta}$ the half commutator of the Dirac matrices.

(1.1) leads to the violation of EEP. This problem was discussed by many authors[11, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34]. However, the derivation of (1.1) includes some ambiguous concepts in quantum theory. In [28], the exact Cini-Touschek transformation and the ultra-relativistic limit of the fermion theory were derived, but the Foldy-Wouthuysen transformation is not uniquely defined. The following calculations also show that, the usual covariant derivative

∇_μ includes cross terms, which is not parallel to the classical momentum p_μ.

II. THE SPINOR EQUATIONS IN CURVED SPACE-TIME

The covariant derivatives of a spinor have been constructed by several authors[35, 36, 37, 38, 39], but their formalisms are in the compact form which are not convenient for calculation, and their geometrical and physical meanings are covered under the abstract symbols. In [40] we got some explicit representation of the vierbein formalism. Here we give some simplification for spinor connection and establish the classical mechanics of a spinor, and then analyze its dynamical effects of the spinor connection.

In this paper, we take $\hbar = c = 1$ as units, and choose the Pauli and Dirac matrices in flat space-time as follows

$$\sigma^\mu \equiv \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\widetilde{\sigma}^\mu \equiv (\sigma^0, -\vec{\sigma}), \qquad \vec{\sigma} = (\sigma^1, \sigma^2, \sigma^3). \tag{2.2}$$

$$\gamma^a \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma^a \\ \widetilde{\sigma}^a & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \vec{\sigma} \\ -\vec{\sigma} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

We use the index $(a, b \in \{0,1,2,3\})$ for the flat space-time, $(\mu, \nu \in \{0,1,2,3\})$ for the curved space-time, and $(j,k,l \in \{1,2,3\})$ for the hyperplane or space.

In the flat space-time, the Dirac equation for free bispinor ϕ is equivalent to

$$\gamma^a i\partial_a \phi = m\phi, \tag{2.4}$$

Making transformation $\phi = (\widetilde{\psi}, \psi)^T$, by (2.4), we get the dynamical equation for two Weyl spinors ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$,

$$\begin{cases} \sigma^a i\partial_a \psi = m\widetilde{\psi}, \\ \widetilde{\sigma}^a i\partial_a \widetilde{\psi} = m\psi. \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

(2.5) is also the so-called chiral representation in gauge theory.

For the vierbein formalism in the curved space-time, assume x^μ to be the coordinates, $d\bar{x}^a$ the local frame, then we have

$$dx^\mu = h^\mu{}_a d\bar{x}^a, \quad dx_\mu = l_\mu{}^a d\bar{x}_a, \quad h^\mu{}_a l_\mu{}^b = h^b{}_k l_a{}^k = \delta^b_a, \tag{2.6}$$

or equivalently

$$g^{\mu\nu} = h^\mu_a h^\nu_b \eta^{ab}, \qquad g_{\mu\nu} = l^a_\mu l^b_\nu \eta_{ab}, \tag{2.7}$$

where $\eta_{ab} = \mathrm{diag}[1,-1,-1,-1]$ is the Minkowski metric. Denote the Pauli matrices in curved space-time by

$$\begin{cases} \rho^\mu = h^\mu{}_a \sigma^a, & \rho_\mu = l_\mu{}^a \sigma_a, \\ \widetilde{\rho}^\mu = h^\mu{}_a \widetilde{\sigma}^a, & \widetilde{\rho}_\mu = l_\mu{}^a \widetilde{\sigma}_a, \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

then we have $\rho^\mu \widetilde{\rho}^\nu + \rho^\nu \widetilde{\rho}^\mu = \widetilde{\rho}^\mu \rho^\nu + \widetilde{\rho}^\nu \rho^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}$. In curved space-time, the field equation (2.5) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \rho^\mu i \nabla_\mu \psi = m \widetilde{\psi}, \\ \widetilde{\rho}^\mu i \widetilde{\nabla}_\mu \widetilde{\psi} = m \psi, \end{cases} \tag{2.9}$$

where $\nabla_\mu = \partial_\mu + \Gamma_\mu$, $\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu = \partial_\mu + \widetilde{\Gamma}_\mu$ are the covariant derivatives of ψ and $\widetilde{\psi}$, Γ_μ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_\mu$ are spinor affine connections satisfying[40]

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{\rho}_\nu \rho^\nu_{;\mu} = \frac{1}{4}(\widetilde{\rho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \rho_\alpha - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{8} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} (\widetilde{\rho}^\alpha \rho^\beta - \widetilde{\rho}^\beta \rho^\alpha), \\ \widetilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \rho_\nu \widetilde{\rho}^\nu_{;\mu} = \frac{1}{4}(\rho^\alpha \partial_\mu \widetilde{\rho}_\alpha - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{8} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} (\rho^\alpha \widetilde{\rho}^\beta - \rho^\beta \widetilde{\rho}^\alpha). \end{cases} \tag{2.10}$$

For Dirac equation, we define Dirac matrices in curved space-time by $\varrho^\mu \equiv h^\mu_a \gamma^a$, then ϱ^μ satisfies the Clifford algebra $\varrho^\mu \varrho^\nu + \varrho^\nu \varrho^\mu = 2g^{\mu\nu}$. For Dirac bispinor ϕ, we have $\nabla_\mu \phi = (\partial_\mu + \bar{\Gamma}_\mu)\phi$ with connection

$$\bar{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \varrho_\nu \varrho^\nu_{;\mu} = \frac{1}{4}(\varrho^\alpha \partial_\mu \varrho_\alpha - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{8} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} (\varrho^\alpha \varrho^\beta - \varrho^\beta \varrho^\alpha). \tag{2.11}$$

III. SIMPLIFICATION OF THE SPINOR CONNECTION

For (2.9), we define the total connection of the spinors as

$$\begin{cases} \Gamma \equiv \rho^\mu \Gamma_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \rho^\mu (\widetilde{\rho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \rho_\alpha - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{8} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} \rho^\mu (\widetilde{\rho}^\alpha \rho^\beta - \widetilde{\rho}^\beta \rho^\alpha), \\ \widetilde{\Gamma} \equiv \widetilde{\rho}^\mu \widetilde{\Gamma}_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \widetilde{\rho}^\mu (\rho^\alpha \partial_\mu \widetilde{\rho}_\alpha - \Gamma^\alpha_{\mu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{8} \partial_\alpha g_{\mu\beta} \widetilde{\rho}^\mu (\rho^\alpha \widetilde{\rho}^\beta - \rho^\beta \widetilde{\rho}^\alpha). \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

By the symmetry $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$, we can easily check

$$\begin{cases} \Omega \equiv \frac{i}{2}(\Gamma - \Gamma^+) = \frac{i}{8}(\rho^\mu \widetilde{\rho}^\alpha \partial_\mu \rho_\alpha - \partial_\mu \rho_\alpha \widetilde{\rho}^\alpha \rho^\mu), \\ \widetilde{\Omega} \equiv \frac{i}{2}(\widetilde{\Gamma} - \widetilde{\Gamma}^+) = \frac{i}{8}(\widetilde{\rho}^\mu \rho^\alpha \partial_\mu \widetilde{\rho}_\alpha - \partial_\mu \widetilde{\rho}_\alpha \rho^\alpha \widetilde{\rho}^\mu). \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

For the diagonal metric we have $\Omega=\widetilde{\Omega}=0$[40].

The Lagrangian corresponding to (2.9) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_m &= \Re\langle\psi^+\rho^\mu i\nabla_\mu\psi+\widetilde{\psi}^+\widetilde{\rho}^\mu i\widetilde{\nabla}_\mu\widetilde{\psi}\rangle-m(\widetilde{\psi}^+\psi+\psi^+\widetilde{\psi}),\\
&= \tfrac{1}{2}[\psi^+\rho^\mu i\partial_\mu\psi+(i\partial_\mu\psi)^+\rho^\mu\psi+\widetilde{\psi}^+\widetilde{\rho}^\mu i\partial_\mu\widetilde{\psi}+(i\partial_\mu\widetilde{\psi})^+\widetilde{\rho}^\mu\widetilde{\psi}]+\\
&\quad\ \tfrac{i}{2}[\psi^+(\Gamma-\Gamma^+)\psi+\widetilde{\psi}^+(\widetilde{\Gamma}-\widetilde{\Gamma}^+)\widetilde{\psi}]-m(\widetilde{\psi}^+\psi+\psi^+\widetilde{\psi}),\\
&= \Re\langle\psi^+\rho^\mu i\partial_\mu\psi+\widetilde{\psi}^+\widetilde{\rho}^\mu i\partial_\mu\widetilde{\psi}\rangle+\psi^+\Omega\psi+\widetilde{\psi}^+\widetilde{\Omega}\widetilde{\psi}-m(\widetilde{\psi}^+\psi+\psi^+\widetilde{\psi}).
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

By the Variation of (3.3) with respect to ψ^+, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \frac{\delta(\mathcal{L}_m\sqrt{g})}{\sqrt{g}\delta\psi^+}=\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_m}{\partial\psi^+}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\partial_\alpha\left(\frac{\partial\mathcal{L}_m\sqrt{g}}{\partial(\partial_\alpha\psi^+)}\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\rho^\mu i\partial_\mu\psi+\Omega\psi-m\widetilde{\psi}-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{g}}\partial_\alpha\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial(\partial_\alpha\psi^+)}[(i\partial_\mu\psi)^+\rho^\mu\psi\sqrt{g}]\right)\\
&= \rho^\mu i\partial_\mu\psi+\frac{i}{2}\rho^\mu_{;\mu}\psi+\Omega\psi-m\widetilde{\psi},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

where $\rho^\mu_{;\mu}=\partial_\mu\rho^\mu+\Gamma^\mu_{\mu\nu}\rho^\nu$. Similar equation holds for $\widetilde{\psi}$, so we get the dynamical equation

$$\begin{cases}
\rho^\mu i\partial_\mu\psi+(\frac{i}{2}\rho^\mu_{;\mu}+\Omega)\psi=m\widetilde{\psi},\\
\widetilde{\rho}^\mu i\partial_\mu\widetilde{\psi}+(\frac{i}{2}\widetilde{\rho}^\mu_{;\mu}+\widetilde{\Omega})\widetilde{\psi}=m\psi.
\end{cases}\tag{3.5}$$

Projecting $\partial_\mu\rho^\mu$ to the basis ρ^μ, i.e. we define k_μ as follows

$$\partial_\mu\rho^\mu=\partial_\mu h^\mu{}_a\sigma^a\equiv k_\mu\rho^\mu=k_\mu h^\mu{}_a\sigma^a,\tag{3.6}$$

then by (2.6) we have $\partial_\mu h^\mu{}_a=k_\mu h^\mu{}_a$ or $k_\mu=l_\mu{}^a\partial_\nu h^\nu{}_a$, thus

$$\rho^\mu_{;\mu}=\partial_\mu\rho^\mu+\Gamma^\mu_{\mu\nu}\rho^\nu=(l^a_\mu\partial_\nu h^\nu_a+\partial_\mu\ln\sqrt{g})\rho^\mu.\tag{3.7}$$

Hence we can define the geometrical part of connection as follows

$$\Upsilon_\mu\equiv\frac{1}{2}(l^a_\mu\partial_\nu h^\nu_a+\partial_\mu\ln\sqrt{g})=\frac{1}{2}h^\nu_a(\partial_\mu l^a_\nu-\partial_\nu l^a_\mu).\tag{3.8}$$

For any 3-dimensional vector $\vec{A},\ \vec{B}$, it is easy to check

$$(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{A})(\vec{\sigma}\cdot\vec{B})=\vec{A}\cdot\vec{B}+i\vec{\sigma}\cdot(\vec{A}\times\vec{B}).\tag{3.9}$$

Denoting

$$\rho^\alpha=h^\alpha{}_0+\vec{h}^\alpha\cdot\vec{\sigma},\quad \widetilde{\rho}^\alpha=h^\alpha{}_0-\vec{h}^\alpha\cdot\vec{\sigma},\quad \partial_\mu\rho_\alpha=\partial_\mu l_\alpha{}^0-\vec{l}_\alpha\cdot\vec{\sigma},\tag{3.10}$$

where $\vec{h}^\alpha=(h^\alpha{}_1,h^\alpha{}_2,h^\alpha{}_3)$ and $\vec{l}_\alpha=(l_\alpha{}^1,l_\alpha{}^2,l_\alpha{}^3)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\rho^\alpha\widetilde{\rho}^\beta\partial_\alpha\rho_\beta &= (h^\alpha{}_0+\vec{h}^\alpha\cdot\vec{\sigma})(h^\beta{}_0-\vec{h}^\beta\cdot\vec{\sigma})\partial_\alpha\rho_\beta,\\ &= [(h^\alpha{}_0h^\beta{}_0-\vec{h}^\alpha\cdot\vec{h}^\beta)-(h^\alpha{}_0\vec{h}^\beta-h^\beta{}_0\vec{h}^\alpha)\cdot\vec{\sigma}\\ &\quad -i(\vec{h}^\alpha\times\vec{h}^\beta)\cdot\vec{\sigma}](\partial_\alpha l_\beta{}^0-\partial_\alpha\vec{l}_\beta\cdot\vec{\sigma}),\\ &= i[(\vec{h}^\alpha\times\vec{h}^\beta)\cdot\partial_\alpha\vec{l}_\beta-\partial_\alpha l_\beta{}^0(\vec{h}^\alpha\times\vec{h}^\beta)\cdot\vec{\sigma}\\ &\quad +((h^\alpha{}_0\vec{h}^\beta-h^\beta{}_0\vec{h}^\alpha)\times\partial_\alpha\vec{l}_\beta)\cdot\vec{\sigma}]+\text{ Hermitian terms.}\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Substituting (3.11) into Ω, we get

$$\Omega=-\frac{1}{4}\left((\vec{h}^\alpha\times\vec{h}^\beta)\cdot\partial_\alpha\vec{l}_\beta-\partial_\alpha l_\beta{}^0(\vec{h}^\alpha\times\vec{h}^\beta)\cdot\vec{\sigma}+[(h^\alpha{}_0\vec{h}^\beta-h^\beta{}_0\vec{h}^\alpha)\times\partial_\alpha\vec{l}_\beta]\cdot\vec{\sigma}\right). \tag{3.12}$$

Projecting Ω to the basis ρ^μ, we have $\Omega=\Omega_\mu\rho^\mu$ with

$$\Omega_\mu=-\frac{1}{4}\left((\vec{h}^\alpha\times\vec{h}^\beta)\cdot(l_\mu{}^0\partial_\alpha\vec{l}_\beta-\vec{l}_\mu\partial_\alpha l_\beta{}^0)+\vec{l}_\mu\cdot[(h^\alpha{}_0\vec{h}^\beta-h^\beta{}_0\vec{h}^\alpha)\times\partial_\alpha\vec{l}_\beta]\right). \tag{3.13}$$

(3.13) defines the dynamical part of the spinor connection. By (3.8) and (3.13), the dynamical equation (3.5) becomes

$$\begin{cases}\rho^\mu[i(\partial_\mu+\Upsilon_\mu)+\Omega_\mu]\psi=m\widetilde{\psi},\\ \widetilde{\rho}^\mu[i(\partial_\mu+\Upsilon_\mu)+\Omega_\mu]\widetilde{\psi}=m\psi.\end{cases} \tag{3.14}$$

Correspondingly, the Dirac equation (2.4) in the curved space-time becomes

$$\varrho^\mu[i(\partial_\mu+\Upsilon_\mu)+\Omega_\mu]\phi=m\phi. \tag{3.15}$$

The following discussion shows that, $\partial_\mu+\Upsilon_\mu$ as a whole operator is similar to the covariant derivatives ∇_μ for tensors, but Ω_μ takes the position of the interactive potentials, and it leads to dynamical effects.

In the case of the diagonal metric, we have $\Omega=0$, and then covariant derivatives can be easily written out. We give two examples frequently used.

(E1). Dirac equation in Schwarzschild metric. Schwarzschild metric is given by

$$g_{\mu\nu}=\operatorname{diag}(B(r),-A(r),-r^2,-r^2\sin^2\theta). \tag{3.16}$$

In the diagonal metric, we have $\Omega_\mu=0$, then

$$\varrho^\mu=\left(\frac{\gamma^0}{\sqrt{B}},\frac{\gamma^1}{\sqrt{A}},\frac{\gamma^2}{r},\frac{\gamma^3}{r\sin\theta}\right), \tag{3.17}$$

$$\Upsilon_\mu=\left(1,\frac{1}{r}+\frac{B'}{4B},\frac{1}{2}\cot\theta,0\right), \tag{3.18}$$

we have Dirac equation as

$$i\left[\frac{\gamma^0}{\sqrt{B}}\partial_t+\frac{\gamma^1}{\sqrt{A}}\left(\partial_r+\frac{1}{r}+\frac{B'}{4B}\right)+\frac{\gamma^2}{r}(\partial_\theta+\frac{1}{2}\cot\theta)+\frac{\gamma^3}{r\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\right]\phi=m\phi. \tag{3.19}$$

Set $A=B=1$, we get Dirac equation in spherical coordinate system

$$i\left[\gamma^0\partial_t+\gamma^1(\partial_r+\frac{1}{r})+\frac{\gamma^2}{r}(\partial_\theta+\frac{1}{2}\cot\theta)+\frac{\gamma^3}{r\sin\theta}\partial_\varphi\right]\phi=m\phi. \tag{3.20}$$

(E2). Dirac equation in general diagonal metric. Assume the metric tensor as

$$g_{\mu\nu}=\mathrm{diag}[N_0^2,-N_1^2,-N_2^2,-N_3^2], \tag{3.21}$$

where $N_\mu=N_\mu(x^\alpha)$.

$$\varrho^\mu=\left(\frac{\gamma^0}{N_0},\frac{\gamma^1}{N_1},\frac{\gamma^2}{N_2},\frac{\gamma^3}{N_3}\right), \tag{3.22}$$

$$\Upsilon_k=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial_k N_0}{N_0}+\frac{\partial_k N_1}{N_1}+\frac{\partial_k N_2}{N_2}+\frac{\partial_k N_3}{N_3}-\frac{\partial_k N_k}{N_k}\right), \tag{3.23}$$

where $k=0,1,2,3$.

IV. THE DYNAMICAL EFFECTS OF THE SPINOR CONNECTION

The separation of connection Υ_μ and Ω_μ has important physical meanings. The following analysis shows Υ_μ is only related with geometry, but Ω_μ has dynamical effects if the metric is intrinsically non-diagonal. So in such space-time, we fail to find the Einstein's lift for the spinors, the principle of equivalence is broken down by spinors.

In order to understand the physical meaning of the connection of the spinor Υ_μ and Ω_μ, we should use the Local Gaussian coordinate system(GCS) with metric $\mathrm{diag}(1,-\bar g_{jk})$, because only in this coordinate system we can define the simultaneity and then establish the Hamiltonian formalism. In GCS, we have

$$h_0^0=l_0^0=1,\qquad \vec h^0=\vec l_0=0. \tag{4.1}$$

Then by (3.8) and (3.13), we get

$$\Upsilon_\mu=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\ln\sqrt{g},\ \vec l_k\cdot\partial_j\vec h^j+\partial_k\ln\sqrt{g}\right), \tag{4.2}$$

$$\Omega_\mu=-\frac{1}{4}\left((\vec h^\alpha\times\vec h^\beta)\cdot\partial_\alpha\vec l_\beta,\ \vec l_k\cdot(\vec h^\beta\times\partial_t\vec l_\beta)\right). \tag{4.3}$$

In GCS, to lift and lower the index of a vector means $\Upsilon^0 = \Upsilon_0, \Upsilon^k = -\bar{g}^{kl}\Upsilon_l$.

To make the conclusion more general and comparable, we consider the Dirac equation with electromagnetic interaction eA^μ, then (3.15) can be rewritten in the Hamiltonian formalism

$$i(\partial_t + \Upsilon_t)\phi = \mathbf{H}\phi, \tag{4.4}$$

where the Hamiltonian is defined by

$$\mathbf{H} = -\alpha^k \cdot [i(\partial_k + \Upsilon_k) - eA_k + \Omega_k] + eA_0 - \Omega_0 + m\gamma_0, \qquad (\alpha^\mu \equiv \gamma_0 \varrho^\mu). \tag{4.5}$$

Similarly to the case in flat space-time, we define the central coordinate $\vec{X}$ and speed $\vec{v}$ of the spinor as follows[41],

$$\vec{X} = \int_{S^3} \vec{x} q^0 d^3x, \qquad \vec{v} = \frac{d}{dt}\vec{X}, \tag{4.6}$$

where S^3 stands for the total simultaneous hyperplane, q^μ is the current

$$q^\mu = \phi^+ \alpha^\mu \phi = \widetilde{\psi}^+ \widetilde{\rho}^\mu \widetilde{\psi} + \psi^+ \rho^\mu \psi. \tag{4.7}$$

By the definition (4.6) and the current conservation law $q^\mu_{;\mu} = 0$, it is easy to check

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{v} &= \int_{S^3} \vec{x}\partial_t(q^0\sqrt{g})d^3x = \int_{S^3} \vec{x} q^0_{;t}\sqrt{g}d^3x = -\int_{S^3} \vec{x} q^k_{;k}\sqrt{g}d^3x, \\ &= \int_{S^3} \vec{q}\sqrt{g}d^3x. \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

With the normalizing condition $\int_{S^3} q^0\sqrt{g}d^3x = 1$, we have the classical approximation, namely the point-particle model,

$$q^\mu \to u^\mu \sqrt{1 - \bar{g}_{kl}v^k v^l}\,\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}), \qquad u^\mu \equiv \frac{dx^\mu}{d\tau} = (1, \vec{v})/\sqrt{1 - \bar{g}_{kl}v^k v^l}, \tag{4.9}$$

where the Dirac-δ means $\int_{S^3} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X})\sqrt{g}d^3x = 1$ and $\delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{X}) = 0$ if $\vec{x} \neq \vec{X}$, τ is the proper time.

Define the 4-dimensional momentum of the spinor by

$$p^\mu = \Re \int_{S^3} \phi^+ \hat{p}^\mu \phi \sqrt{g} d^3x, \qquad \hat{p}^\mu \equiv i(\partial^\mu + \Upsilon^\mu) - eA^\mu + \Omega^\mu. \tag{4.10}$$

The spinor ϕ is a Lorentz spinor under local Lorentz transformation (frame transformation), but a scalar under spatial curvilinear coordinate transformation $x^k \to \widetilde{x}^k$. By the covariance of the point vector (4.10), for the spinor at energy eigenstate (namely the particle state), we have the classical approximation

$$p^\mu = m u^\mu, \tag{4.11}$$

where m defines the classical mass of the spinor. Only by the definition of (4.10), we have p^μ parallel to the speed u^μ in the form (4.11), which can be checked from the dynamical equation (4.4) as done in [41, 42]. So the new form of spinor connection (3.14) and (3.15) is of important physical significance.

For any Hermite operator $\hat{P}$, by (4.4) we find the following generalized Ehrenfest theorem holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dP}{dt} &= \frac{d}{dt}\int_{S^3}\sqrt{g}\phi^+\hat{P}\phi d^3x \\
&= \Re\int_{S^3}\sqrt{g}\left(\phi^+(\partial_t\hat{P})\phi + i(i\partial_t\phi)^+\hat{P}\phi - i\phi^+\hat{P}(i\partial_t\phi) + \phi^+\hat{P}\phi\partial_t\ln\sqrt{g}\right)d^3x, \\
&= \Re\int_{S^3}\sqrt{g}\left(\phi^+(\partial_t\hat{P})\phi + i(\mathbf{H}\phi)^+\hat{P}\phi - i\phi^+\hat{P}\mathbf{H}\phi\right)d^3x, \\
&= \Re\int_{S^3}\sqrt{g}\phi^+\left(\partial_t\hat{P} + (\partial_k\alpha^k + \alpha^k\partial_k\ln\sqrt{g} - 2\alpha^k\Upsilon_k)\hat{P} + i[\mathbf{H},\hat{P}]\right)\phi d^3x, \\
&= \Re\int_{S^3}\sqrt{g}\phi^+\left(\partial_t\hat{P} + i[\mathbf{H},\hat{P}]\right)\phi d^3x,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where the Hermite operator $\hat{P}$ means $P = \int_{S^3}\sqrt{g}\phi^+\hat{P}\phi d^3x$ is real for any ϕ. (4.12) clearly shows the connection Υ^μ has only geometrical effect, which cancels the derivatives of $\sqrt{g}$. But as shown below, Ω^μ has dynamical effect. Obviously, we can not get (4.12) from the conventional definition of spinor connection.

Let $\hat{P} = \hat{p}_\mu$, by similar calculation in [41], we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}p_\mu &= \Re\int_{S^3}\left([\partial_\mu(eA_\nu - \Omega_\nu) - \partial_\nu(eA_\mu - \Omega_\mu)]q^\nu - \phi^+(\partial_\mu\alpha^k)\hat{p}_k\phi\right)\sqrt{g}d^3x. \\
&\to [\partial_\mu(eA_\nu - \Omega_\nu) - \partial_\nu(eA_\mu - \Omega_\mu)]u^\nu\sqrt{1-\bar{g}_{kl}v^kv^l} - K_\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where K_μ is the classical approximation of the last term

$$\Re\int_{S^3}\phi^+(\partial_\mu\alpha^k)\hat{p}_k\phi\sqrt{g}d^3x \to K_\mu, \quad \text{if} \quad q^\mu \to v^\mu\delta^3(\vec{x}-\vec{X}). \tag{4.14}$$

The first term in (4.13) gives the electromagnetic and gravitomagnetic field, which is normal. To directly derive the classical approximation of K_μ is complicated, which should use the original dynamical equation (4.4) repeatedly to solve $\hat{p}_k\phi$ similarly to the manipulation in [41]. However, we can solve it by the following skill.

Noticing the fact that for a linear spinor, we have the so called 'mass shell constraint' condition $\frac{d}{dt}(p^\mu p_\mu) = 0$[41, 43]. However, it should be pointed out the this condition only exactly holds for a linear spinor at energy eigenstate[41, 42]. By (4.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \frac{d}{dt}(g^{\mu\nu}p_\mu p_\nu) = p_\mu p_\nu \frac{d}{dt}g^{\mu\nu} + 2g^{\mu\nu}p_\nu \frac{d}{dt}p_\mu \\ &= p_\nu(p_\mu \frac{d}{dt}g^{\mu\nu} - 2g^{\mu\nu}K_\mu). \end{aligned} \tag{4.15}$$

Obviously, by the definition, K_μ is a linear function of p_ν. Considering the arbitrary of p_ν, we have general solution as follows

$$K_\mu = \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\beta}p_\alpha(F^{\alpha\beta}\sqrt{1-\bar{g}_{kl}v^k v^l} + \frac{d}{dt}g^{\alpha\beta}), \tag{4.16}$$

where $F^{\alpha\beta} = -F^{\beta\alpha}$ is an antisymmetrical tensor. By the physical meaning of K_μ defined by (4.14), $F^{\alpha\beta}$ should be the first order derivatives of the metric or vierbein. Then by covariance of (4.13), we have

$$\frac{d}{d\tau}p_\mu + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\beta}p_\alpha(\frac{d}{d\tau}g^{\alpha\beta} + F^{\alpha\beta}) = u^\alpha p_{\mu;\alpha}. \tag{4.17}$$

The solution is given by

$$F_{\mu\nu} = u^\alpha(\partial_\mu g_{\nu\alpha} - \partial_\nu g_{\mu\alpha}). \tag{4.18}$$

Substituting (4.16)-(4.18) into (4.13), we finally get the elegant classical mechanics for a spinor particle in curved space-time

$$p_{\mu;\nu}u^\nu = [\partial_\mu(eA_\nu - \Omega_\nu) - \partial_\nu(eA_\mu - \Omega_\mu)]u^\nu. \tag{4.19}$$

Although we derive (4.19) in the local GCS, it obviously holds in all coordinate system due to the covariant form.

In the space-time with intrinsically nondiagonal metric, (4.19) shows the principle of equivalence is broken by the spinors moving at high speed. From the above derivations, we find that, in contrast with the previous results (1.1), the equation (4.19) is more accurate and clear.

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

From the above calculation and results we learn that, to represent the spinor connection by Υ_μ and Ω_μ not only makes the calculation simple, but also highlights their different physical meanings. Υ_μ mainly corresponds to the geometrical calculations, but Ω_μ corresponds to the intrinsic curvature of the space-time, which leads to dynamical effects, namely the gravitomagnetic field. The validity of the representation depends on the quaternion structure of the space-time.

In the space-time with intrinsic nondiagonal metric, the spinor particles do not move strictly along the geodesics, so the principle of equivalence is broken down by spinors. The other interaction potentials also lead to the deviation from the geodesics[42]. All these deviations are proportional to the speed of the particles.

In (4.19), the gravitomagnetic potential is $\vec{\Omega}$. The gravitomagnetism of the weak field was derived in [19, 20, 21], where the gravitomagnetic potential in the harmonic coordinate system is equivalent to

$$\vec{A} = (g^{01}, g^{02}, g^{03}), \qquad \vec{B} = \nabla \times \vec{A}. \tag{5.1}$$

(5.1) looks quite different from $\vec{\Omega}$. The relation between the two definitions $\vec{A}$ and $\vec{\Omega}$ is obviously interesting.

In the space-time with the diagonal metric, we have $\Omega_\mu = 0$. This means a spinor particle without other interaction moves along geodesic. Noticing the arbitrary speed u^μ in (4.19), in this case we get

$$\partial_\mu \Omega_\nu - \partial_\nu \Omega_\mu = 0. \tag{5.2}$$

So there exists a scalar function Φ, such that $\Omega_\mu = \partial_\mu \Phi$. (5.2) actually provides a necessary condition for a metric can be transformed into the diagonal one, and it seems to be also the sufficient condition. This is an interesting byproduct.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to his supervisor Prof. Ta-Tsien Li and Prof. Han-Ji Shang for their encouragement, and Mrs. Cai-Di Ning for her support.

---

[1] Clifford M. Will, *The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment*, Living Rev. Rel.9 (2005) 3, gr-qc/0510072

[2] M. P. Haugan and C. L. Lämmerzahl, *Principles of Equivalence: Their Role in Gravitation Physics and Experiments that Test Them*, Lect. Notes Phys. 562 (2001)195-212, gr-qc/0103067.

[3] C. L. Lämmerzahl, *The Einstein equivalence principle and the search for new physics*, in D. J. W. Giulini, C. Kiefer and C. L. Lämmerzahl eds., Quantum Gravity: From Theory to Experimental Search, vol. 631 of Lecture Notes in Physics, 367¨C394, (Springer, Berlin, Germany, 2003).

[4] J. G. Williams, S. G. Turyshev, D. H. Boggs and J. T. Ratcliff, *Lunar Laser Ranging Science: Gravitational Physics and Lunar Interior and Geodesy*, Adv. Space Res. 37(1), 67-71 (2006), gr-qc/0412049.

[5] J. G. Williams, S. G. Turyshev, D. H. Boggs, *Lunar Laser Ranging tests of the equivalence principle with the eqrth and moon*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. D18:1129-1175 (2009), gr-qc/0507083

[6] K. Nordtvedt, *Lunar Laser Ranging - A Comprehensive Probe of Post-Newtonian Gravity*, arXiv:gr-qc/0301024

[7] T. P. Sotiriou, *f(R) gravity, torsion and non-metricity*, Class. Quant. Grav. 26:152001 (2009), arXiv:0904.2774

[8] Y. Fujii, *Induced Violation of Weak Equivalence Principle in the Brans-Dicke Theory*, Mod. Phys. Lett. A9 (1994) 3685-3690, arXiv:gr-qc/9411068

[9] J.-M. Gérard, *The strong equivalence principle from a gravitational gauge structure*, Class.Quant.Grav.24:1867-1878 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0607019

[10] S. W. Hawking, G. F. R. Ellis, *The Large Scale Structure of the Space-time*, Cambridge Univ. Press 1999

[11] P.M. Alsing, G.J. Stephenson Jr, and Patrick Kilian, *Spin-induced non-geodesic motion, gyroscopic precession, Wigner rotation and EPR correlations of massive spin-1/2 particles in a*

gravitational field, arXiv:0902.1396

[12] H. Thirring, *On the effect of rotating distant masses in Einstein's theory of gravitation*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **16** (1984) 712-725

[13] J. Lense and H. Thirring, *On the influence of the proper rotation of central bodies on the motions of planets and moons according to Einstein's theory of gravitation*, Gen. Rel. Grav. **16** (1984) 727-750.

[14] L. I. Schiff, *On experimental tests of the general theory of relativity*, Am. J. Phys. 28 (1960) 340-343.

[15] L.I. Schiff, *Motion of gyroscope according to Einstein's theory of gravitation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 46 (1960) 871-882

[16] L.I. Schiff, *Possible new experimental test of general relativity theory*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 4 (1960) 215-217.

[17] K. Nordtvedt, *Existence of the gravitomagnetic interaction*, Int. J. of Theo. Phys., 27, 1395-1404 (1988).

[18] C. M. Will, *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics*, Cambridge University Press, New York, (1993)

[19] B. Mashhoon, *Time-Varying Gravitomagnetism*, Class. Quant. Grav. 25:085014(2008), arXiv:0802.1356

[20] D. Bini, C. Cherubini, C. Chicone, B. Mashhoon, *Gravitational induction*, Class. Quant. Grav. 25:225014(2008), arXiv:0803.0390

[21] Y. Q. Gu, *Stationary Spiral Structure and Collective Motion of the Stars in a Spiral Galaxy*, arXiv:0805.2828

[22] B. Mashhoon, *Gravitoelectromagnetism: A Brief Review, the third chapter of The Measurement of Gravitomagnetism: A Challenging Enterprise*, edited by L. Iorio (Nova Science, New York, 2007), pp. 29-39, arXiv:gr-qc/0311030

[23] T. W. Murphy, Jr, K. Nordtvedt and S. G. Turyshev, *The Gravitomagnetic Influence on Gyroscopes and on the Lunar Orbit*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 98:071102 (2007), arXiv:gr-qc/0702028

[24] Rickard Jonsson, *A covariant formalism of spin precession with respect to a reference congruence*, reference: Class. Quant. Grav. 23:37-59 (2006), arXiv:0708.2533

[25] M. Mathisson, Acta Phys. Pol. 6, 163 (1937).

[26] A. Papapetrou, Proc. R. Soc. London 209, 248 (1951).

[27] W.G. Dixon, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. London A277, 59 (1974).

[28] Yuri N. Obukhov, *On gravitational interaction of fermions*, Fortsch. Phys. 50 (2002)711-716, arXiv:gr-qc/0112080

[29] H. Behera, P. C. Naik, *Gravitomagnetic Moments and Dynamics of Dirac's (spin 1/2) fermions in flat space-time Maxwellian Gravity*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A19 (2004)4207-4230, arXiv:gr-qc/0304084.

[30] I. B. Khriplovich, A. A. Pomeransky, *Gravitational Interaction of Spinning Bodies, Center-of-Mass Coordinate and Radiation of Compact Binary Systems*, Phys.Lett. A216 (1996)7, arXiv:gr-qc/9602004.

[31] B. Mashhoon, D. Singh, *Dynamics of extended spinning masses in a gravitational field*, Phys. Rev. D74, 124006 (2006).

[32] B. Mashhoon, *Neutron interferometry in a rotating frame of reference*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2639-2642 (1988).

[33] F. W. Hehl, W. T. Ni, *Inertial effects of a Dirac particle*, Phys. Rev. D 42, 2045-2048 (1990).

[34] B. J. Venema, P. K. Majumder, S. K. Lamoreaux, B. R. Heckel and E. N. Fortson, *Search for a coupling of the Earth's gravitational field to nuclear spins in atomic mercury*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 68, 135-138 (1992).

[35] M. Sachs, *General relativity and matter* **(Ch.3)**, D. Reidel, 1982.

[36] W. L. Bade, H. Jehle, Rev. Mod. Phys.**25(3)**, (1953)714

[37] P. G. Bergmann, Phys. Rev. **107(2)**, (1957)624

[38] D. R. Brill, J. A. Wheeler, Rev. Mod. Phys. **29(3)**, (1957)465

[39] J. P. Crawford, Adv. Appl. Cliff. Alg. **2(1)**, (1992)75

[40] Y. Q. Gu, *The Vierbein Formalism and Energy-Momentum Tensor of Spinors*, arXiv:gr-qc/0612106.

[41] Y. Q. Gu, *New Approach to N-body Relativistic Quantum Mechanics*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A22:2007-2020(2007), arXiv:hep-th/0610153

[42] Y. Q. Gu, *Mass-Energy Relation of the Nonlinear Spinor*, hep-th/0701030

[43] P. M. Alsing, J. C. Evans and K. K. Nandi, *The phase of a quantum mechanical particle in curved spacetime*, Gen. Rel. Grav. 33, 1459-1487 (2001); gr-qc/ 0010065.